

Letter Writing Requirements for Dossiers – CLAS

There are a number of **necessary requirements** in preparing letters in a case for the second-level and third-level reviews. These typically depend on type of case.

(A) Comprehensive Review for Reappointment:

For evaluations of the three categories: Teaching (Librarianship), Scholarly/Creative Work, and Leadership/Service

(a) Record vote counts (yes-no-recusal-absent) for teaching (librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership/service. Recusals from discussion and voting should apply if there is a conflict of interest or a bias regarding a candidate, meaning that a committee member is unable to render a fair and unbiased opinion. A recused committee member cannot be present during the discussion or vote. **Abstentions are not permitted.**

CLAS is allowed to use this language in AY 2023-24:

The designations **approaching excellent**, **approaching meritorious**, or **not meritorious** (as described in primary unit criteria) may be used for evaluations of the three categories.

[Permission to use this language 2/2022]

Examples:

- The primary unit voted 6-0-0-0 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **approaching excellent** in teaching with six committee members voting for approaching excellent.
- The primary unit voted 4-2-0-0 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **approaching meritorious** in scholarly/creative work with four committee members voting for approaching meritorious and two for approaching excellent.
- The primary unit voted 4-2-0-0 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **not meritorious** in leadership/service with four committee members voting for not meritorious and two for approaching meritorious.

Add a table like the one following to record evaluations:

Evaluation of Teaching (Librarianship), Scholarly/Creative Work, and Leadership/Service (AE = approaching excellent, AM = approaching meritorious, NM = not meritorious)	Teaching (Librarianship)	Scholarly/Creative Work	Leadership/Service
Department/Primary Unit	6AE	4AM, 2AE	4NM, 2AM
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	5AE, 2AM	4AE, 3AM	5AM, 1AE, 1NM
Dean's Evaluation	AE	AE	AM

(b) For the overall rating, use **only** the designations **on track for tenure**; **not on track for tenure, but could meet standards for tenure with appropriate corrections**; or **not on track for tenure** in reviews by the primary unit, the dean's review/advisory committee, and the dean in Comprehensive Review evaluations. Do not invent other terminology.

Overall Evaluation	On track for tenure	Not yet on track for tenure, but could meet standards for tenure with appropriate corrections	Not on track for tenure
Department/Primary Unit	6	0	0
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	5	1	1
Dean's Evaluation	X		

(c) Record the overall vote for reappointment.

Examples:

- The dean's advisory committee voted 6-1-0-1 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for reappointment.

Add a table like the one following to record votes for reappointment:

Votes	Yes	No	Recusal	Absent
Department/Primary Unit	10	0	0	0
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	6	1	0	1
Dean's Recommendation	X		NA	NA

=====

(B) Tenure and Promotion Review:

(a) Only use the designations **excellent**, **meritorious**, or **not meritorious** in Tenure and Promotion evaluations by the primary unit, the dean's review/advisory committee, and the dean.

(b) Record vote counts (yes-no-recusal-absent) for teaching (librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership/service. Recusals from discussion and voting should apply if there is a conflict of interest or a bias regarding a candidate, meaning that a committee member is unable to render a fair and unbiased opinion. A recused committee member cannot be present during the discussion or vote. **Abstentions are not permitted.**

Examples:

- The primary unit voted 6-1-0-0 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **meritorious** in teaching with six committee members voting for meritorious and one for excellent.
- The primary unit voted 7-0-0-0 for **excellent** in scholarly/creative work with seven committee members voting for excellent.
- The dean's advisory committee voted 4-3-0-0 for **not meritorious** in leadership/service with four committee members voting for not meritorious, two for meritorious, and one for excellent.

Add a table like the one following to record evaluations:

Evaluation of Teaching, Scholarly/Creative Work, and Leadership/Service (E = excellent, M = meritorious, NM = not meritorious)	Teaching (Librarianship)	Scholarly/ Creative Work	Leadership/ Service
Department/Primary Unit	6M, 1E	7E	4E, 3M
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	4E, 3M	6E, 1M	4NM, 2M, 1E
Dean's Evaluation	E	E	M

(c) Record the overall vote for promotion and tenure.

Example:

- The dean's advisory committee voted 6-1-1-0 (yes-no-recusal-absent) in favor of tenure and promotion; one member was recused.

Add a table like the one following to record votes:

Votes	Yes	No	Recusal	Absent
Department/Primary Unit	7	0	0	1
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	6	1	1	0
Dean's Recommendation	X		NA	NA

=====

(C) Promotion to Full Professor Review:

(a) Only use the designations **the record taken as a whole is excellent** or **the record taken as a whole is not excellent** in Promotion to Full Professor evaluations by the primary unit, the dean's review/advisory committee, and the dean.

(b) Record vote counts (yes-no-recusal-absent) for teaching (librarianship), scholarly/creative work, and leadership/service as part of an overall recommendation. Recusals from discussion and voting should apply if there is a conflict of interest or a bias regarding a candidate, meaning that a committee member is unable to render a fair and unbiased opinion. A recused committee member cannot be present during the discussion or vote. **Abstentions are not permitted.**

Examples:

- The primary unit voted 4-0-0-1 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **excellent** in librarianship with four committee members voting for excellent; one member was absent.
- The primary unit voted 3-1-0-1 (yes-no-recusal-absent) for **meritorious** in scholarly/creative work with three committee members voting for meritorious and one for excellent; one member was absent.
- The primary unit voted 3-1-0-1 for **not meritorious** in leadership/service with one committee member voting for meritorious and three voting for not meritorious; one member was absent.

- once the determinations above are made, an overall evaluation of **the record taken as a whole is excellent** or **the record taken as a whole is not excellent** can be made

Add a table like the one following to record evaluations:

Evaluation of Teaching, Scholarly/Creative Work, and Leadership/Service (E = excellent, M = meritorious, NM = not meritorious)	Teaching (Librarianship)	Scholarly/ Creative Work	Leadership/ Service
Department/Primary Unit	4E	3M, 1E	3NM, 1M
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	4M, 3E	5E, 2M	5E, 2M
Dean's Evaluation	E	E	M

(c) Add a table like the one following to record votes:

Votes for Promotion	Yes	No	Recusal	Absent
Department/Primary Unit	4	0	0	1
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	5	2	1	0
Dean's Recommendation	X		NA	NA

(d) Record the overall recommendation for promotion to Full Professor:

Votes			
Department/Primary Unit	RECORD TAKEN	AS A WHOLE	IS EXCELLENT*
Dean's Review/Advisory Committee	RECORD TAKEN	AS A WHOLE	IS EXCELLENT*
Dean's Recommendation	RECORD TAKEN	AS A WHOLE	IS EXCELLENT*

*Note that there are three criteria for promotion to Full Professor. These are found in the system Administrative Policy Statement 1022.V.K.: <https://www.cu.edu/ope/aps/1022>.

=====

(D) Other Situations:

In other evaluation situations, please subscribe to the examples given above as closely as possible.