

Wildland Fire Fighter Activities

Corey Butler, MS - NIOSH Western States Office Joshua Scott, MS, NIOSH National Personal Protective Technology Laboratory Aaron Sussell, PhD, MPH, NIOSH Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations and Field Studies



Outline

Background
Wildland Fire Fatality Data
Non-fatal Injury/Illness
Wildland Fire Exposures
Wildland Fire Fighting Respirator Use
USFS/NIOSH Collaborative Data Analysis

Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service



Background

- Fire fighting is a high-risk occupation requiring considerable physical and psychological demands
- 2001-2012: 104 US fire fighter line of duty deaths each year
- Two primary modes of fire fighting
 - Urban/structural
 - Non-urban/wildland or wildland fire fighting
- Different mechanisms and techniques used for each mode
- Each pose unique risks and hazards to the worker



Photo Courtesy of Mike Kaiser, US Forest Service





Wildland fire

- Any non-structure fire in the wildland
- Three types of wildland fire
 - Wildfire, wildland fire use and prescribed fire
- Wildland fires typically occur in the 'wildland' or the 'wildlandurban interface' (WUI)
 - Wildland
 - An area in which development is essentially non-existent
 - WUI
 - The line, area or zone where structures and other human development meet or intermingle with undeveloped wildland or vegetative fuels

Source: National Wildfire Coordinating Group (NWCG) Glossary of Wildland Fire Terminology



Wildland fire acreage burned and number of fires, 1990-2013



Data courtesy of National Interagency Fire Center (NIFC)

Wildland Fire Fighters (WFFs)

- Types of fire fighters
 - Aerial
 - Smoke jumpers
 - Helicopter/tanker crew and pilots
 - On the ground
 - Handcrews
 - Engine crew
 - Dozer/heavy equipment operators
 - Single resources
 - Structural protection
 - Managers/Supervisors/Overhead
 - Incident Command Personnel
 - Support Staff



Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service





AGENCIES INVOLVED

- Federal Wildland Fire Protection
- State Wildland Fire Protection
- Local Wildland Fire Protection
- Contractors
- Prison Crews
- National Guard



 Varying jurisdictions, roles, responsibilities, missions, training and cultures



Wildland Fire Fighters (WFFs)

- Types of employment
- Demographics
 - Gender
 - Age
- Experience level
- Employment requirements
 - Physical fitness
 - Medical
 - Training



Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service





OSH Data and Research Challenges

- High risk population
- Very limited data on this worker population
- Often grouped with 'regular' fire fighters
- Size of the workforce is unknown
 - Transient/seasonal population
 - Largely volunteer
- 'Cowboy'
- OSH surveillance & research soup
 - Fatality surveillance systems differ
 - Varying/somewhat inconsistent injury/illness/exposure datasets
- Limited 'health effects' research
- Historically, limited interest from some of the worker/fire manager community
- Politically charged







Progress since 2012



- United States Forest Service
 - January 2014: Data Use Agreement signed with USFS Technology and Development Centers (TDC)
 - 4 years of exposure data (2009-2012)
 - May 2014: General Research MOU developed with USFS TDC
 - August 2014: ROSS National Interagency Fire Center (NIFC) dispatch records
- Department of Interior
 - Safety Management Information System (SMIS)
- Fatality Data Analysis Project
- Communication documents and professional publications





Number of wildland fire related fatalities



Number of wildland fire related fatalities by type of employment, 2000-2013







Number of wildland fire related fatalities by type of incident, 2000-2013





Number and percent of wildland fire related fatalities by gender, 2000-2013













Non-Fatal Injury Data

- Limited surveillance data
 - Based on information from the DOI 'SMIS' surveillance system
 - Most common injuries due to slips/trips/falls and contact with equipment/tools/machinery
 - Most common type of injury is sprains
 - Injuries occurring later in the wildland fire season were likely to be more severe than those occurring in the early season
 - Review of medical records at large fires
 - More experienced and specialized fire fighting teams had lower injury incidence
 - Engine crew workers suffered the most injuries
- Limitations
 - Under-utilization and quality of data



Other health concerns

- Heat-related illness
- Rhabdomyolysis
- Respiratory illnesses
- Total worker health
 - Work organization and stress
- Long term health effects
- Noise/hearing loss
- Cardiovascular disease
- Exposure to
 - Naturally occurring asbestos (NOA)
 - Coccidioides (Valley Fever)



Photo courtesy of Todd Wyckoff, New Jersey State Forestry Service





Wildland Fire (WLF) Exposures



Unique Environment

WLFs vary in size, scale, impact

2012 - 67,000 fires & 9 million acres

Large WLF suppression workforce

34,000 federal workers & an estimated 307,000 total employees

 Variety of potential toxic combinations in smoke particulates and fire gasses

> CO, Benzene, Sulfur Dioxide, Free Radicals, PM, CO2, Aldehydes and Nitrogen Oxides. (Ward, 1991)



Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service



A Population at Risk

 Concentrations and fluctuations of CO depend on a variety of potential variables

Fuel type, task, work rate, geography, meteorological factors, etc.

Short Term Health Affects

- Headache, fatigue, nausea, dizziness and confusion
- URI and seasonally decreased lung function

Long Term Health Affects

Long term **chronic exposure health effects not well characterized Cancer and cardiovascular disease Work is being conducted by USFS



Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service



To Date: 85 Identified WLF Occupational Exposure Studies





Wildland Fire Fighting Respirator Use

Analysis of NFPA 1984 Standard for Respirators used in Wildland Fire-Fighting Operations



Premise of the 1984 Standard Analysis

 Since the publication of the NFPA 1984 Standard on Respirators for Wildland Fire-Fighting Operations 2011 edition, there have been no submissions from manufacturers for a NIOSH certified respirator.

Therefore;

• There are currently no approved air purifying respirators (APR) or powered air purifying respirators (PAPRs) available for use during wildland fire fighting operations that comply with the NFPA 1984 Standard-Rev.



Key Findings

	STRENGTHS/ OPPORTUNITIES	CHALLENGES/THREATS
INTERNAL FACTORS Attributes of NFPA, NIOSH or other Bulf management agencies	 Universally Regarded as a well written and an acceptable standard for respirator guidelines NFPA guidelines for the standards development process accredited by ANSI were followed 	 The NFPA guidelines for standards development were operationalized ineffectively. Standard was previously proposed, but not developed due to the use of preferential administrative controls. Lack of adequate representation on TCs during NFPA 1984 Standard-Rev development. Lack of Consensus on the need for the use of a respirator in WLF The USFS is in the process of developing and implementing a training program that uses admin controls and tactics. There is autonomous implementation of standards and protocols among differing WLF agencies. Manufacturers do not currently believe there is a profitable market for a WLF respirator.
EXTERNAL FACTORS Attributes of the Environment	 Consensus among the WFF community that hazardous exposures exist and must be addressed. Little new technology would need to be developed to produce a NIOSH certified respirator that complies with NFPA 1984 Standard-Rev 	 Respirator's negative physiological impact during prolonged work shifts Additional research needed on situational respirator use and performance requirements. Respirator's full-face piece fit it issues and packing weight for wildland firefighters in the field The need for long-term health effects studies for WLF



Two Key Recommendations

- In the absence of a certified respirator and research validating its used in this unique worker environment, administrative controls should immediately be implemented by all wildland fire management agencies to reduce inhalation exposures from wildland fire fighting operations.
- The standards development process must address how the standards process is operationalized to ensure that due process, openness, balance and consensus are adequately addressed.



USFS/NIOSH Collaborative Data Analysis



USFS Data Profile

- USFS / NIOSH collaboration on analysis of 2009 2012 WFF exposure dataset
 - Individual WFFs, ICPs and spike camps were monitored
 - PBZ and ambient air samples were collected and analyzed for CO, PM₄, and SiO₂
 - Numerous predictor variables were collected including
 - Location
 - Experiential variables (crew type, years and type of experience, job/rank and # of days of fire)
 - Subjective smoke exposure **intensity rating
 - Task/ activity
 - Fire behavior
 - Fire type, and
 - Meteorological variable (wind speed, wind direct, temperature, etc.)



USFS Data Collection

- Study designed by Tim Reinhardt and carried out by George Broyles, a WFF and researcher with the San Demas Technology and Development Center.
- Data were collected by USFS field researchers using pencil and paper collection sheets, then scanned and transferred to individual excel files.
- Individual files were used for exposure calculations and synthesized into larger yearly summary files.
- NIOSH performed QA/QC on the summary files.





Data Analysis Agenda

- Characterize exposure variability and exceedances using SETG Interim OELs
- Identify similar exposure groups for CO, PM4 and SiO2
 - Task/Activity, crew type, job/title (squad), region, fuel model, fire behavior, meteorological variables, experiential variables (years of experience)
- Examine relationship between subjective smoke exposure and objective measures of CO and PM4
- Examine the CO/PM correlation in various fuel models/geographic regions & Develop a statistical model that explains as much of the observed variability in outcome exposure variables as possible



Wildland Fire Examples



Questions?



Fireline construction during Inversion







Direct/Indirect fireline construction no inversion





WFF hiking to fireline







Wildland fire engine operations

driving, operational break and monitor



Digging fireline With dozer



Photo Courtesy of George Goyles and the US Forest Service



References

- Adetona O, Simpson CD, Onstad G, Naeher LP [2013]. Exposure of Wildland fire fighters to Carbon Monoxide, Fine Particles, and Levoglucosan. Ann Occup Hyg. [Epub ahead of print]
- Adetona O, Dunn K, Hall DB, Achtemeier G, Stock A, Naeher LP [2011]. Personal PM_{2.5} exposure among wildland fire fighters working at prescribed forest burns in southeastern United States. J Occup Environ Hyg, (8):503-11.
- Austin C [2008]. Wildland fire fighter health risks and respiratory protection. Chemical substances and Biological Agents: Studies and Research Projects. R-572. [http://www.irsst.qc.ca/media/documents/PubIRSST/R-572.pdf]. Date accessed: September 14, 2013
- National Wildfire Coordinating Group (2012). Monitoring and Mitigating Exposure to Carbon Monixide and Particulates at Incident Base Camps.
- Reinhardt T, Ottmar R [2004]. Baseline measurements of smoke exposure among wildland fire fighters. J of Occ and Env Hyg 1(9), pp.593-606.
- Reisen F, Hansen D, Meyer CP [2011]. Exposure to bushfire smoke during prescribed burns and wildfires: fire fighters' exposure risks and options. Environ Int. 37(2):314-21.

Sharkey, B. (Ed.). (1997). Health Hazards of Smoke: Recommendations of the April 1997 Consensus Conference. *Technology & Development Center.* Tech. Rep. 9751-2836-MTDC. Missoula, MT: USDA Forest Service.

Ward, D. (1998). E. smoke from wildland fires. USDA Forest Service, October, p. 70-84.

